Case 3:23-cv-19774-RLR Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2023 Page 1 of 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: ZANTAC (RANITIDINE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

MDL NO 2924 20-MD-2924

JUDGE ROBIN L ROSENBERG MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE REINHART

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Andrew Black	
(D1 1 10037 ( ) )	
(Plaintiff Name(s))	

## **SHORT-FORM COMPLAINT - VERSION 3**

The Plaintiff(s) named below, by counsel, file(s) this Short Form Complaint against Defendants named below. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in the Second Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint ("SAMPIC") in *In re: Zantac (Ranitidine) Products Lability Litigation*, MDL No. 2924 (S.D. Fla). Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short-Form Complaint - Version 3 as permitted by Pretrial Order 80 and the Court's Orders regarding motions to dismiss and specifically DE 3751 at 1, as outlined on page 1 of the SAMPIC.

Plaintiff(s) select(s) and indicate(s) by completing where requested, the Parties and Causes of Actions specific to this case. Where certain claims require additional pleading or case specific facts and individual information, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

Plaintiff(s), by counsel, allege as follows:

## I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

## A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. Plaintiff(s) Andrew Black

("Plaintiff(s)") brings this action (check the applicable designation):

On behalf of [himself/herself];

	In representative capacity as the	, on behalf	
	of the injured party, (Injured	Party's Name)	
2.	Injured Party is currently a resident and citizen	of (City, State)	
2.	Lincolnton, NC and claims damages as set fort		
	—OR—		
	Decedent died on (Month, Day, Year)	At the time of	
	Decedent's death, Decedent was a resident and citizen	of (City, State)	
If any party c	claims loss of consortium,		
3.	("Consortium Plaintiff") alleges date	mages for loss of	
	consortium.		
4.	At the time of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Cons	sortium Plaintiff is a	
	citizen and resident of (City, State)	·	
5.	At the time the alleged injury occurred, Consortium Plaintiff re	sided in (City, State)	
	·		

# **B. DEFENDANT(S)**

- 6. Plaintiff(s) name(s) the following Defendants from the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint in this action:
  - a. Brand-Name Manufacturers from the SAMPIC:

Boehringer Ingelheim Corporation; Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Boehringer Ingelheim USA Corporation; GlaxoSmithKline (America) Inc.; GlaxoSmithKline LLC; Patheon Manufacturing Service LLC; Sanofi US Services Inc.; Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC

h.	Others	Not	Named	in the	<b>SAMPIC:</b>
ν.	Oulder	TOL	Nameu	III LIIE	SAMILIC.

# C. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7.	Identify the Federal District Court in which Plaintiff(s) would have filed this action in the absence of Pretrial Order No. 11 (direct filing) [or, if applicable, the District Court to which their original action was removed]:
	Western District of NC
8.	Jurisdiction is proper upon diversity of citizenship.
	II. PRODUCT USE
9.	The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine: [Check all that apply]
	By prescription
	Over the counter
10.	The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine from approximately (month, year) 01/2015 to 12/2018 .

## III. PHYSICAL INJURY

11. As a result of the Injured Party's use of the medications specified above, [he/she] was diagnosed with the following specific type of cancer (check all that apply):

Check all that apply	Cancer Type	Approximate Date of Diagnosis	
	BLADDER CANCER		
	BREAST CANCER		
	COLORECTAL/INTESTINAL CANCER		
X	ESOPHAGEAL CANCER	12/11/2018	
	GASTRIC CANCER		
	KIDNEY CANCER		
	LIVER CANCER		
	LUNG CANCER		
	PANCREATIC CANCER		
	PROSTATE CANCER		
	OTHER CANCER:		
	DEATH (CAUSED BY CANCER)		
12.	Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proxima Plaintiff(s)	tely caused the injuries to	

### IV. CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED

- 13. The following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint are asserted against Defendants, and the allegations with regard thereto are adopted in this Short Form Complaint by reference.
- 14. By checking the appropriate causes of action below, Plaintiff(s) assert these causes of action based upon the law and applicable Sub-Counts of the following state(s):<sup>1</sup>

In selecting the relevant states above, Plaintiffs reserve all rights to argue choice of law issues at a later time.

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the SAMPIC
	I	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
X	II	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> LA, NJ, OH, and WA
	III	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
X	IV	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> LA, NJ, OH, and WA
	V	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Warnings and Precautions	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	VI	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Improper Expiration Dates	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	VII	Negligent Failure to Test	KS, TX
X	VIII	Negligent Product Containers: (Against all Defendants who manufactured and sold pills <sup>2</sup> )	All States and Territories
X	IX	Negligent Storage and Transportation	All States and Territories
X	X	Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XI	Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XII	Survival Actions	All States and Territories
	XIII	Wrongful Death	All States and Territories

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This Count applies only to pills, not ranitidine-containing products in the form of syrups or injections.

15. In addition to the counts above, Plaintiff(s) hereby allege(s) all of the causes of action and facts supporting them alleged in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint (AMPIC), D.E. 3887, and the Master Personal Injury Complaint (MPIC), D.E. 887, that are alleged against the above-listed Defendants. These additional counts are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein. By incorporating each claim from each master pleading, Plaintiff(s) preserve all rights to challenge previously entered orders from the Court.

16. In addition to the claims listed above, Plaintiff(s) assert claims of Negligent Misrepresentation and Reckless Misrepresentation against Brand-Name Manufacturers. In support of these claims, Plaintiff(s) allege the allegations in the AMPIC in support of Counts XII and XIII as well as the following additional jurisdictional facts: Brand Defendants sold, advertised, and marketed Zantac in Plaintiff(s)' home state.

#### V. **JURY DEMAND**

17. Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

### VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) has/have been damaged as a result of Defendants' actions or inactions and demand(s) judgment against Defendants on each of the above-referenced causes of action, jointly and severally to the full extent available in law or equity, as requested in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint.

Signature Block: DATED: March 23, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

Tim K. Goss Texas Bar No. 08222660 tim@freeseandgoss.com FREESE & GOSS, PLLC 3500 Maple Ave., Ste. 1100 Dallas, TX 75219 P: 214.761.6610

F: 214.761.6688

Counsel for Plaintiff